

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q53231

RECEIVED

NOV 0 1 2004

Hyun-doo SHIN, et al.

Technology Center 2600

Appln. No. 09/497,522

Group Art Unit: 2613

٦ ^E

Confirmation No. 7586

Examiner: Charles E. Parsons

Filed: February 4, 2000

For:

DIGITAL VIDEO PROCESSING METHOD AND APPARATUS THEREOF

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated July 28, 2004, please kindly consider the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 49-55 are all the claims pending in the application. Applicants thank the Examiner for finding that claims 50, 52, and 54 contain patentable subject matter since the Examiner states that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base and intervening claims.

Claims 49, 51, 53, and 55 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ratakonda (U.S. Patent No. 5,596,026) in view of Haghigi (U.S. Patent No. 6,178,265).

Applicants note that the primary argument put forth in this Office Action in the grounds of rejection, as well as in previous Office Actions has been that Ratakonda teaches or suggests the